UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/597,896	08/10/2006	David Laurent	101377-1P US	7620
	7590 01/06/201 CA R&D BOSTON	EXAMINER		
35 GATEHOUS			MABRY, JOHN	
WALTHAM, MA 02451-1215			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1625	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/06/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/597,896	LAURENT ET AL.
Examiner initiated interview duminary	Examiner	Art Unit
	JOHN MABRY	1625
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>JOHN MABRY</u> .	(3)	
(2)	(4)	
Date of Interview: 29 December 2009	Time: <u>10:04 am</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: n/		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. T of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summand</li> </ul>	he examiner will provide a writte e record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/John Mabry/		
Examiner, Art Unit 1625	(Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: POTENTIAL ABANDONMENT FOR FAILURE TO RESPOND - Examiner called and left message with Stephen J. Sand (most recent corresponding attorney) to discussed if Applicant sent a Response to a Restriction Requirement mailed on June 26, 2009 - call was not returned. Examiner called and left message with another attorney of record, Carol Loeschorn and no call was returned. It has been over six months since restriction requirement was mailed and Applicant has not responded. Examiner reasonable concludes that Applicant intends to abandon Application 10/597,896, filed on August 10, 2006.